

Coarse embeddings of ℓ_2

Recall that $\circ f: M \rightarrow N$ is a coarse embedding if $\exists p_1, p_2: \mathbb{R}_+ \ni$
with $p_1 \rightarrow \infty$ such that $p_1 \circ d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y)) \leq p_2 \circ d(x, y)$
• we proved last time (Nowak '06) $\ell_2 \hookrightarrow \ell_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$)
and (Mendel-Naor) $L_p \hookrightarrow L_q$ ($0 < p \leq q < \infty$)

Let us address today: $c \times \mathbb{B}$ -space of $\omega\text{-lim} \Rightarrow \ell_2 \hookrightarrow c \times X$?

Th (Odell-Schlumprecht '94): If X is a \mathbb{B} -space with unconditional basis and nontrivial cotype [$\ell_\infty \not\cong X$], then $S_X \cong S_{\ell_2}$ (and therefore $\cong S_{\ell_2}$)

(c): (Ostrovskii 2007) X with u.b. and $\ell_\infty \not\cong X$ implies $\ell_2 \hookrightarrow X$

• Here " $\ell_\infty \not\cong X$ " means " $\forall C \exists n \forall T: \ell_\infty^n \cong G_n \subseteq X \quad \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| > C$ ".

• We proved last time: $\forall R, \varepsilon \exists \varphi: \ell_2 \rightarrow S_{\ell_2} \left\{ \|x-y\|_2 \leq R \Rightarrow \|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)\|_2^2 \leq \liminf_{S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)\|_2^2}{\|x-y\|_2^2} \geq S \right.$
[Bandulač-Guenther]

Proof of (c): Let $\{e_n\}$ be a 1-unconditional basis of X , $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$,

$N_i \cap N_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, $\#N_i = \infty$, $X_i = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_n : n \in N_i\}$

O-S shows that $\exists \varphi_i: S_{X_i} \cong S_{\ell_2}$. Then take $\varphi = \varphi_{R, \varepsilon}$ given by [D-G]

and let $f_i = \varphi_i \circ \varphi$. Then $\|x-y\|_2 \leq R \Rightarrow \|f_i(x)-f_i(y)\| \leq w_{\varphi_i}(\varepsilon)$

$\liminf_{S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_i(x)-f_i(y)\|}{\|x-y\|_2} \geq S$ if $w_{\varphi_i}(f_i) < \sqrt{2}$, that is, if f_i is small.

Let us apply this for $R=i$ and $\varepsilon>0$ s.t. $w_{\varphi_i}(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\delta_i}{i^{2\varepsilon}}$: this yields

$$(i) \|x-y\|_2 \leq i \Rightarrow \|f_i(x)-f_i(y)\|_{X_i} \leq \frac{\delta_i}{i^{2\varepsilon}}$$

$$(ii) \liminf_{S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_i(x)-f_i(y)\|}{\|x-y\|_2} \geq S$$

Fix $x_0 \in \ell_2$ and set $f: \ell_2 \rightarrow X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$

$$n \mapsto \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{\delta_i} (f_i(n) - f_i(x_0)) \right)_{i=1}^{\infty},$$

$$\bullet \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{\delta_i} \|f_i(n) - f_i(x_0)\| = \sum_{i \in N(x_0)} + \sum_{i \notin N(x_0)} \frac{1}{2^i} : \text{therefore } f \text{ is well-def}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(n) - f(y)\| &\leq \sum_{i < \|x-y\|} \frac{i}{\delta_i} \times 2 + \sum_{i \geq \|x-y\|} \frac{1}{2^i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i < \|x-y\|} \frac{2^i}{\delta_i} + 2 = \beta_2(\|x-y\|). \end{aligned}$$

Lower bound: it is enough to prove that $\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^+ \exists S \quad \|x-y\| \geq S \Rightarrow \|f(n)-f(y)\| \geq h$.

Or to prove that $\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^+ \exists i \quad \|x-y\| \geq S \Rightarrow \frac{i}{\delta_i} \|f_i(n)-f_i(y)\| \geq h$ take $i \geq h$ use (ii).

We shall now prove a part of [0-5]: the superreflexive case. (2)
th [partial 0-5] Let X be uniformly convex and uniformly smooth with
 a 1-unconditional basis. Then $S_X \sim S_{X^*}$

Preparation: Assume X is uniformly smooth (US): there exists $\exists! x^* \in S_{X^*} \quad x^*(n)=1$
 $(j(n)=x^*)$ and j is uniformly continuous.

If moreover X is UC (that is, X^* is US) (and X is reflexive), then

$j_X: S_X \rightarrow S_{X^*}$ and $j_{X^*}: S_{X^*} \rightarrow S_{X^{**}} = S_X$ is the inverse of j_X .

So $S_X \overset{j_X}{\underset{UH}{\sim}} S_{X^*}$

Lemma: If $\dim X=n$, if X is UC and US and if X has a 1-unc. basis, and if you look
 at X and X^* as sequence spaces whose canonical bases are 1-unconditional, and also
 as algebras for the pointwise multiplication, and if you let $F: S_X \rightarrow S_{\ell_1^n}$
 $x \mapsto x|_{j(n)}$,
 then F is uniformly continuous and w_F depends
 only on the modulus of smoothness of X .
if X is ℓ_p , F is the Nazar map.

(i) F is 1-1, F^{-1} is uniformly continuous, w_F depends only on the modulus of
 convexity of X

(ii) F is onto.

Note that $|j(1_n)| = |j(n)| : \sum |x_i| |j(n)_i| = \langle 1_n, j(1_n) \rangle = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{i} \|F(x)-F(y)\|_1 &= \|x(j(n)-y(j(y))\|_1 \leq \|x(j(n)-j(y))\|_1 + \|(x-y)j(y)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|x(j(n)-j(y))\|_1 + \|(x-y)j(y)\|_1 \\ &= \|x(j(n)-j(y))\|_1 + \langle 1_n - y, j(y) \rangle \\ &\leq \sum |x_i| |j(n)_i - j(y)_i| + \dots \\ &= \langle 1_n, |j(n)-j(y)| \rangle + \dots \\ &\leq \|x\|_X \|j(n)-j(y)\|_{X^*} + \|x-y\| \\ &= \|j(n)-j(y)\| + \|x-y\| \leq w_j(\|x-y\|) + \|x-y\| \end{aligned}$$

\textcircled{ii} Let $x, y \in S_X$, $f = F(x) = x|_{j(n)}|$, $h = F(y) = y|_{j(n)}|$.

Assume $\|f-h\|_1 = \epsilon$. We want to show that $\|x-y\|_X \leq w(\epsilon)$.

It is enough to show that $\|x-y\| \geq 1-\lambda(\epsilon)$, or that $\langle y, j(n) \rangle \geq 1-\mu(\epsilon)$ ($\mu=2\lambda$)

Let $\Lambda = \{i: \operatorname{sgn} f_i = \operatorname{sgn} h_i\}$: If $i \notin \Lambda$, $\operatorname{sgn} x_i = \operatorname{sgn} y_i = \operatorname{sgn} f_i$. Wlog $\forall i \in \Lambda, f_i, h_i, x_i, y_i \geq 0$

Define $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \notin \Lambda \\ \min(f_i, h_i) & \text{if } i \in \Lambda \end{cases}$. Note that $\|f\|_1 = \|h\|_1 = 1$ and $\sum (x_i|j(n)_i|) = \langle 1_n, j(n) \rangle = 1$

We have $\|g\|_1 = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$: $\sum_{i \in \Lambda} |f_i - h_i| = \varepsilon_1 = \sum_{i \in \Lambda'_1} |f_i| + \sum_{i \in \Lambda''_1} |h_i|$. (3)

$$0 = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} |f_i| = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} |f_i| - \varepsilon'_1 = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} |h_i| - \varepsilon''_1$$

Let $\Lambda'_2 = \{i \in \Lambda : f_i \geq h_i\}$ and $\Lambda''_2 = \Lambda \setminus \Lambda'_2$.

$$\text{Let } \varepsilon_2 = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} |f_i - h_i| = \sum_{i \in \Lambda'_2} f_i - h_i + \sum_{i \in \Lambda''_2} h_i - f_i$$

$$\text{then } \sum_{i \in \Lambda} g_i = \sum_{i \in \Lambda'_2} h_i + \sum_{i \in \Lambda''_2} f_i \geq \sum_{i \in \Lambda} f_i - \varepsilon'_2 = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} h_i - \varepsilon''_2$$

$$\|g\|_1 = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} |g_i| + \sum_{i \in \Lambda} g_i = 1 - (\varepsilon'_1 + \varepsilon''_2) \quad \downarrow \quad \varepsilon$$

$$\text{and } \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon \text{ and } \|g\|_1 = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$G = \text{supp } g \subset (\Lambda \cap \text{supp } n \cap \text{supp } y)$. Then $\|g(\frac{x}{n} + \frac{y}{n})\|_1 \leq \|f(\frac{x}{n} + \frac{y}{n})\|_1 = \|\hat{f}(x)\|_1$

$$\text{Then } \|g(\frac{x}{n} + \frac{y}{n})\|_1 \leq 2 = \langle ly, j(x) \rangle \leq 1$$

Fix $\delta > 0$. Let $A = \{i \in G : \frac{y_i}{n_i} \wedge \frac{n_i}{y_i} < 1 - \delta\}$: the set where n and y differ somewhat.

$$\text{Note that } \varepsilon < 1 - \delta \Rightarrow \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{1-\delta} + 1 - \delta > 2 + \delta^2. \text{ Let } C = A \setminus A.$$

$$2 \geq \|g(\frac{x}{n} + \frac{y}{n})\|_1 = \|\pi_A g(\frac{x}{n} + \frac{y}{n})\|_1 + \|\pi_C g(\frac{x}{n} + \frac{y}{n})\|_1 \geq (2 + \delta^2) \|\pi_A g\|_1 + 2 \|\pi_C g\|_1$$

$$\text{so that } 2 \geq 2 \|g\|_1 + \delta^2 \|\pi_A g\|_1 \text{ and } 2 \geq 2 - \varepsilon + \delta^2 \|\pi_A g\|_1.$$

$$\text{so } \|\pi_A g\|_1 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2}. \text{ Similarly, } \|\pi_C g\|_1 = \|g\|_1 - \|\pi_A g\|_1 \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2}$$

$$\text{and } \|\pi_C g(\frac{x}{n})\|_1 \geq (1 - \delta)(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2}) \quad [\text{if } i \in C, \frac{y_i}{n_i} \geq 1 - \delta]$$

$$\text{let } s = \varepsilon^{1/3}. \text{ Then } \|\pi_A g(\frac{x}{n})\|_1 = \|\pi_A g(\frac{x}{n})\|_1 \geq \|g(\frac{x}{n})\|_1 \geq \|\pi_C g(\frac{x}{n})\|_1 \\ \geq (1 - \varepsilon^{1/3})(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2}) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon^{1/3}$$

$$\text{But } \langle ly, j(x) \rangle \leq 1, \text{ so } |\langle \pi_G g, j(x) \rangle| \leq 2\varepsilon^{1/3} \Rightarrow \langle y, j(x) \rangle \geq 1 - 4\varepsilon^{1/3}$$

(iii) f is a uniform homeomorphism from S_X onto $S_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. It is bound to be onto:

$S_X \cong S_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $S_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cong S_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. But by Brouwer's theorem, $S_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is not contractible, whereas every proper subset of $S_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is.

Corollary: If X is UC, US with a 1-unc. basis, then $S_X \cong_{\text{UH}} S_{\mathbb{R}^n}$

Proof: Let $\{x_i\}$ be a 1-unc. basis of X and $\{e_i\}$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

The lemma shows that $\forall A \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite $\exists F_A : S_{\text{span}\{x_i : i \in A\}} \rightarrow S_{\text{span}\{e_i : i \in A\}}$ and

$w_{F_A}, w_{F_A^{-1}}$ do not depend on A ; the F_A 's are compatible

This yields a uniform homeomorphism from S_X onto $S_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

Announcement: We shall show that X with u.b. and $\text{loc}^n \not\subseteq X$, then the 2-convexification $X^{(2)}$ of X is 2-convex and q -concave for some $q < \infty$: apply Th 0 to $X^{(2)}$: $S_X \cong_{\text{UH}} S_{X^{(2)}}$

Note that for X with unc. basis, $S_X \cong S_{\ell_2} \hookrightarrow \ell_\infty^m \not\subseteq X$
(\Rightarrow is due to Enflo.)

Remark: $\ell_2 \xrightarrow{L} c_0$

Open question: X unc. basis, $\ell_\infty^m \subseteq X \nRightarrow \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} X$