

Th: If X B -space, $l_\infty^m \subseteq X$ (uniformly $/n$), then $S_X \approx_{UH} S_{l_2}$

the proof is based on: ① $S_X \approx_{UH} S_Y$, then $B_X \approx_{UH} B_Y$ (Lemma 1)

(we are not saying that $X \approx_H Y$; this could contradict metric dyrepresentation)

② $B_{c_0} \not\approx_{UH} B_{l_2}$ (Theorem 1, Raynaud 1983)

③ If $B_{l_\infty^m} \xrightarrow[\text{equi-unif.}]{\text{poly}} l_2$, then $B_{c_0} \xrightarrow[\text{unif.}]{} l_2$ (Theorem 2)

Proof of Lemma 1: put $\varphi(x) = \|x\| \varphi(\frac{x}{\|x\|})$: then $\varphi'(x) = \|x\| \varphi'(\frac{x}{\|x\|})$

Also $\|x\| = \|\varphi(x)\|$, so that $\varphi(B_X) = B_Y$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed; let $x, y \in B_X$: if $\|x\| \vee \|y\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, then $\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\| < \varepsilon$

• Otherwise $\|\frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{y}{\|y\|}\| \leq \frac{2\|x-y\|}{\|x\| \vee \|y\|} \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \|x-y\|$; choose $\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ s.t.

$\omega_\varphi(\frac{4}{\varepsilon} \delta) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$; then $\|\varphi(\frac{x}{\|x\|}) - \varphi(\frac{y}{\|y\|})\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

and $\|\|x\| \varphi(\frac{x}{\|x\|}) - \|y\| \varphi(\frac{y}{\|y\|})\| \leq |\|x\| - \|y\|| + \delta < \varepsilon$

We will now prove Theorem 1. First define:

Def: Let (M, d) be a metric space. It is stable if for all free ultrafilters U, V on N and all $(x_n), (y_n) \in M$, bounded, we have

$$\lim_{U, n} \lim_{V, m} d(x_n, y_m) = \lim_{V, m} \lim_{U, n} d(x_n, y_m).$$

Examples: • l_2 is stable because $\|x_n - y_m\|^2 = \|x_n\|^2 - 2\langle x_n, y_m \rangle + \|y_m\|^2$

and B_{l_2} is w -cpt: $w\text{-}\lim x_n = x \in B_{l_2}$, $w\text{-}\lim y_m = y$: then

$$\lim_U \lim_V \|x_n - y_m\|^2 = \lim_U (\|x_n\|^2 - \langle x_n, y \rangle + \lim_V \|y_m\|^2)$$

$$= \lim_U \|x_n\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle + \lim_V \|y_m\|^2$$

• c_0 is not stable: consider $x_n = (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^n, 0, \dots)$
 $y_n = (\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^n, -1, 0, \dots)$

Def: A basis (x_n) of X is K -spreading if $\forall N \geq 1 \forall a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R} \forall n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_N$
 $\frac{1}{K} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_{n_k} \right\| \leq K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\|$

example: canonical basis of ℓ_p or c_0 , summing basis of c_0

Def: A basis (x_n) of X is bimonotone if the basis projections satisfy
 $\|P_n\| = \|I - P_n\| = 1$

Lemma: If (x_n) is K -spreading, X can be renormed so that
 (x_n) is 1-spreading and bimonotone.

Proof: exercise: consider $\left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\| = \sup \left\| \sum a_n x_{n_k} \right\|$
 then $\left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\| \geq \left\| \sum a_n x_{n_k} \right\|$ but $\leq$ is not clear!

Theorem: Let X be a B-space with a K -spreading basis (x_n) ,
 s.t. $B_X \approx_{UH} \text{some stable metric space}$. Then (x_n) is unconditional

(If $X \in (U, d)$, let us write $(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = d(x, y)$.)

Proof We may suppose that (x_n) is 1-spreading and bimonotone.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\varphi_i)_{i=1}^n \in \{-1, +1\}$; let $d > 0, r > 0$ be such that
 $d(x, y) < d$ implies $\|x - y\| < \frac{1}{2}$ (U.C. of φ^{-1})
 $\|x - y\| < r$ implies $d(x, y) < \frac{d}{2}$ (U.C. of φ).

It is enough to prove that $(*) \quad 1 = \left\| \sum a_i x_i \right\| \geq \left\| \sum \varphi_i a_i x_i \right\| \Rightarrow B \geq r$.

We shall prove $(**) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i x_i \right\|$ implies $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i x_i \right\| \geq r \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|$

We have $1 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| \underset{1\text{-spreading}}{=} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_{n_k} \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i \in I} x_{n_i} \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i \in J} x_{n_i} \right\|$
 $\leq 2 \left\| \sum_{i \in I} x_{n_i} - \sum_{i \in J} x_{n_i} \right\|$

because $\|x\| = \|P_I x\| \leq \|x\|$
 $\|x - y\| = \|(1 - P_J)x\| \leq \|x\|$ with $J = \{i: \varphi_i = +1\}$

then $\|x\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $d(\sum_{i \in I} x_{n_i}, \sum_{i \in J} x_{n_i}) \geq d$ for every sequence $n_1 < \dots < n_m$

Then $A = \lim_{n_1, n_1} \lim_{n_2, n_2} \dots \lim_{n_m, n_m} d(\sum_{i \in I} x_{n_i}, \sum_{i \in J} x_{n_i}) \geq d$

$(B) = \frac{1}{2} d(\sum_{i=1}^m x_{n_i}, \sum_{i=1}^m x_{n_i}) \leq \sup \{d(x, y) : \|x - y\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i x_i \right\|\}$
 $\frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m x_{n_i} - \sum_{i=1}^m x_{n_i} \right\|$

1/12/2011

stability implies $A=B$, so that $\alpha \leq \sup\{d(x_i y) : \dots\}$

If $\|\sum \alpha_i x_i\| \leq r$, then $\sup\{ \dots \} \leq \frac{r}{2}$ by definition of r ↙
 Let us prove this stability: changing the order in which the limits are taken corresponds to mixing the x_i 's affected with $+1$ and the x_i 's affected with -1 . (see Benyamini-Ludovico for details!)

Theorem: If finite subsets of a metric space (M, d) embed equi-uniformly into a Hilbert space, then (M, d) itself does.

$$\text{Cn} : B_{\ell_\infty}^m \xrightarrow{\text{equi-unif}} \ell_2$$

$$\boxed{\text{Th 1: } B_{\ell_\infty} \not\xrightarrow{\text{unif}} \ell_2}$$

↳ proof: If we had $B_{\ell_\infty} \xrightarrow{\text{unif}} \ell_2$, we would get a contradiction as the summing basis is 1-spreading and not unconditional.

Options → get to studying kernel

→ give Kalton's proof (interlaced graphs as a generalisation of these sequences)