

① Reminders:

 X, Y B-space

Lemma 1 $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $\text{lip } f = 1$, $f(0) = 0$. Assume $\exists 0 < c < 1$ ~~$\forall \rho > 0 \ f(\rho B_X) \supset c \rho B_Y$~~
 ~~$\forall \rho > 0 \ f(\rho B_X) \supset \rho f(B_X)$~~
 and that if $\varepsilon \in]0, c[$, $\exists r > 0 \exists T \in B(X, Y) \forall u \in r B_X \ \|Tu - f(u)\| \leq \varepsilon \|u\|$.
 Then $T(r B_X) \supset (c - \varepsilon)r B_Y$.

The Dubious lemma of last time consists in replacing (2) by

② $\exists \varepsilon < c \exists T \exists r > 0 \forall u \in r B_X \ \|Tu - f(u)\| \leq \varepsilon r$.

Then $T(r B_X) \supset (c - \varepsilon)r B_Y$ (same conclusion as before)

A weaker version of this holds true (A. Prochazka 2012)

②'' $\exists \varepsilon < c^4 \exists T \exists r > 0 \forall u \in r B_X \ \|Tu - f(u)\| \leq \varepsilon r$.

Then $T(r B_X) \subset c(1 - c)r B_Y$.

Proof: $\forall \rho > 0 \ \rho B_Y \subset f(\frac{\rho}{c} B_X) \quad f(c \rho B_X) \subset c \rho B_Y$

so that $\rho B_Y \setminus c \rho B_Y \subset f(\frac{\rho}{c} B_X) \setminus f(c \rho B_X)$
 $\subset f(\frac{\rho}{c} B_X \setminus c \rho B_X)$.

Let $r_n = c^n r$, $n \geq 0$: $r_n B_Y \setminus r_{n+2} B_Y \subset f(r_n B_X \setminus r_{n+2} B_X)$

If $u \in D_n = r_n B_X \setminus r_{n+2} B_X$, define $f_n(u) = c^n f(\frac{u}{c^n})$

Then $u \in D_n \Leftrightarrow \frac{u}{c^n} \in D_0$ and $r_{n+1} B_Y \setminus r_{n+2} B_Y \subset f_n(r_n B_X \setminus r_{n+2} B_X)$

* Let $y_0 \in r_1 B_Y = c r B_Y$. There is $n_1 \geq 0$ s.t. $r_{n_1+2} < \|y_0\| \leq r_{n_1+1} \Rightarrow \exists x_1 \in D_{n_1} \ y_0 = f_{n_1}(x_1)$

Note that if $x_0 \in D_0$, $\|f(u) - T(u)\| \leq \varepsilon r \leq \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|}{c^2}$ ($\|x\| \geq c^2 r$)
 $\|f(u) - T(u)\| \leq c^2 \|x\|$
 $x \in D_n \quad \|f_n(u) - T(u)\| \leq c^2 \|x\|$

⌋ This is the key point, note that $\varepsilon < c^4$!

and $\|f_{n_1}(x_1) - T(x_1)\| \leq c^2 \|x_1\| \leq r_2$

Let $y_1 = y_0 - T(x_1) = f_{n_1}(x_1) - T(x_1)$: $\|y_1\| \leq r_2$

Then there is $n_2 \geq 1$ s.t. $y_1 \in r_{n_2+1} B_Y \setminus r_{n_2+2} B_Y$ and $y_1 = f_{n_2}(x_2)$ and $x_2 \in r_{n_2} B_X \setminus r_{n_2+2} B_X$

We have $\|x_2\| \leq r_{n_2} \leq r_1$. $\|f_{n_2}(x_2) - T(x_2)\| \leq c^2 \|x_2\| \leq r_3$.

By induction, we get $y_0 = T(x_1 + \dots + x_{n_2}) + y_{n_2}$ $\|x_n\| \leq r_{n-1}$ $\|y_n\| \leq r_{n+1}$

Let $x = \sum x_n$ and $y = Tx$. Then $\|x\| \leq r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c^n = \frac{r}{1-c}$

$$c r B_Y \subset T\left(\frac{r}{1-c} B_X\right)$$

$$c(1-c)B_Y \subset T(B_X)$$

② Elementary facts on uniform quotients

Lemma 3: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ uniformly continuous. Then $\forall d > 0 \ \|x-x'\| > d \Rightarrow \|f(x)-f(x')\| \leq \frac{2\omega_f(d)}{d} \|x-x'\|$

Proof: $x \xrightarrow{\quad} x'$ split $[x, x']$ into $\lfloor \frac{\|x-x'\|}{d} \rfloor + 1$ segments of length $\leq d$

$$\text{Then } \|f(x)-f(x')\| \leq \left(\lfloor \frac{\|x-x'\|}{d} \rfloor + 1 \right) \omega_f(d)$$

$$\leq \frac{\omega_f(d)}{d} \|x-x'\| + d \frac{\omega_f(d)}{d} \leq \frac{2\omega_f(d)}{d} \|x-x'\| \quad (\|x-x'\| \geq d)$$

Lemma 4 $f: X \rightarrow Y$ uniformly continuous.

then $\forall d > 0 \ \exists c > 0 \ r > d \Rightarrow \forall x \in X \ f(B(x, r)) \supset B(f(x), cr)$

Proof: Let $\delta = \delta(d)$ given by the def of uniform continuity

$$(\forall x \in X, f(B(x, d)) \supset B(f(x), \delta))$$

Let $r \geq d$. Then $r = nd + d', \ n \geq 1, \ d' \leq d$.

For $x \in X, y \in X, \|y - f(x)\| \leq n\delta$, split $\begin{matrix} y_0 & y_1 & \dots & y_n \\ \xleftarrow{f(x)} & & & \xrightarrow{y} \end{matrix} \quad \|y_{i+1} - y_i\| \leq \delta$

An easy induction yields x_n s.t. $y = f(x_n) \quad \|x_n - x\| \leq nd' \leq r$

$$B(f(x), n\delta) \subset f(B(x, r))$$

$$r \leq 2nd \quad B(f(x), \frac{c}{2d}r) \subset f(B(x, r))$$

which $\frac{c}{2d} \leq n$

Ultraproduct: $\mathcal{U}$ free ultrafilter on I and $\ell_{\infty}^I(X) = \{x = (x_i)_{i \in I}, (x_i) \text{ bounded in } X\}$

$$\mathcal{W} = \{x \in \ell_{\infty}^I(X) : \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_i\|_X = 0\}$$

Then $X_{\mathcal{U}} = \ell_{\infty}^I(X) / \mathcal{W}$ equipped with $\|\tilde{x}\| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_i\|$ if $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \tilde{x}$.

Prop 5: If $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, then $X_{\mathcal{U}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} Y_{\mathcal{U}}$

Proof: $\exists f: X \rightarrow Y \ \exists c > 1 \ \forall r > 1 \ \forall x \in X \ B(f(x), \frac{r}{c}) \subset f(B(x, r)) \subset B(f(x), cr)$

$$\bullet \ f_u(u) = \frac{f(u_n)}{n}, \ \forall n \geq 1 \ \forall u \ B(f(u), \frac{r}{c}) \subset f_u(B(u, r)) \subset B(f_u(u), cr)$$

$$\tilde{f}: X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{U}} \quad \mathcal{U} \text{ free ultrafilter on } \mathbb{N}$$

$$\tilde{x} = (u_n) \mapsto \left(\frac{f(u_n)}{n} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

Then $\forall r > 0 \ \forall \tilde{x} \ B(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), \frac{r}{c}) \subset \tilde{f}(B(\tilde{x}, r)) \subset B(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), cr)$

This means that $\tilde{f}$ is a Lipschitz quotient. $\square$

③ UAAP (Uniformly Approximate Property), superreflexivity and applications.

2/3/202 ③

Def: $Lip(X, Y)$ has UAAP if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists c > 0 \forall \text{ball } B \subset X \forall f: B \xrightarrow{Lip} Y$
 $\exists B_1 \subset B \text{ ball rad}(B_1) \geq c(\epsilon) \text{rad}(B)$
 $\exists T: B_1 \rightarrow Y$ affine continuous $\forall x \in B_1 \|f(x) - Tx\| \leq \epsilon \text{rad}(B_1) Lip(f)$

Prop 6 If $Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$ has UAAP, then, for any f.d. Y , $Lip(X, Y)$ has UAAP.

Proof: We will only prove that $Lip(X, \ell_\infty^2)$ has UAAP. Thence it suffices to construct an induction. If $f = (f_1, f_2): B \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, $Lip(f) \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow Lip f_i \leq 1$ ($i=1,2$)
 $(\text{rad } B = 1)$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. There is $B_1 \subset B$ with $\text{rad}(B_1) \geq c(\epsilon c(\epsilon))$

Then there is $g_1: B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $|f_1 - g_1| \leq \epsilon c(\epsilon) \text{rad}(B_1)$ on B_1 .

There is $B_2 \subset B_1$ with $\text{rad } B_2 \geq c(\epsilon) \text{rad}(B_1)$.

There is $g_2: B_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $|f_2 - g_2| \leq \epsilon \text{rad}(B_1)$ on B_2 .

Then on $B_2 \subset B_1$, $|f_1 - g_1| \leq \epsilon c(\epsilon) \text{rad}(B_1) \leq \epsilon \text{rad}(B_2)$

If $g = (g_1, g_2)$, $\|f - g\|_{\ell_\infty^2} \leq \epsilon \text{rad}(B_2)$ on B_2

Then $\text{rad } B_2 \geq c(\epsilon) c(\epsilon c(\epsilon)) = c_2(\epsilon) > 0$.

Here the U in UAAP is crucial!

Theorem 7 If X is superreflexive (X_u reflexive), then $L_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ has UAAP.

Theorem 8: If $X \xrightarrow{u} Y$, then $\exists \lambda \geq 1 \forall G \subseteq Y^*$ dim $G < \infty \Rightarrow F \subseteq X^* F|_X \cong G$.

It follows that $\exists Z \subseteq X_u Y \cong X_u/Z$

Corollary 9: $1 < p < \infty$, $L_p = L_p(\mathbb{R})$. $L_p \xrightarrow{u} Y \Leftrightarrow L_p \rightarrow Y$

Proof of the Cor: The $\Rightarrow$ implies there is U s.t. $Y \cong (L_p)U/Z$ and there is (Σ, μ)

such that $(L_p)_U \cong L_p(\Sigma, \mu)$ ^{but this is nonseparable space} and there is a quotient map $Q: L_p(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow Y$

(because $L_p \rightarrow Y$ implies Y is separable) and there is $s: Y \rightarrow L_p(\Sigma, \mu)$ continuous selection s.t. $Q \circ s = \text{Id}_Y$ (Bartle-Graves)

Then $E = \overline{\text{span}} s(Y)$ is separable because s is continuous and Y separable

$E \subseteq L_p(\Sigma, \mu)$

Let $\{f_n\}$ be dense in E and $\mathcal{Z} = \sigma$ -field generated by the f_n 's

then $F = L_p(\mathcal{Z}, \mu)$ is a separable space and it contains E .

then $F \cong L_p, \ell_p$ or ℓ_p^n . But $Q|_F: F \rightarrow Y$ is onto and $Y \cong F/W$.

cf Chapter 1 of Wojtaszczyk, Banach spaces for analysts

Proof of Th 8: Suppose $X \xrightarrow{L} Y$. Then $X_U \xrightarrow{L} Y_U$ with U a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. (4)

We want to show that for $G \subseteq Y^*$ there is $F \subseteq X^*$ with $G \cong_{\chi} F$.

It is enough to find $F \subseteq (X^*)_U$ (finite dimensionality of F).

But $(X^*)_U = (X_U)^*$ because X is superreflexive. Similarly, we can work with $G \subseteq Y^* \subseteq (Y^*)_U \subseteq (Y_U)^*$, G f.d.

Since X_U is superreflexive, we may assume $f: X \xrightarrow{L} Y$ replacing X, Y by X_U, Y_U . $Lip f = 1$.

we also have $\forall x \in X \quad f(B(x, r)) \supset B(f(x), cr)$, $0 < c < 1$.

If $G \subseteq Y^*$, $\dim G < \infty$, let $G_{\perp} = \{y \in Y : \forall g \in G \langle y, g \rangle = 0\}$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y/G_{\perp}$.

The canonical quotient $Q \circ f: X \rightarrow Y/G_{\perp}$ f.d. and $Lip(Q \circ f) \leq 1$.

and $\forall x \in X \quad Q \circ f(B(x, r)) \supset B(Q \circ f(x), cr)$.

X is superreflexive and $\dim Y/G_{\perp} < \infty$: then $(X, Y/G_{\perp})$ has UAP.

For $\varepsilon < c$, there is $B_1 = B(x_0, r)$ and $T: B_1 \rightarrow Y/G_{\perp}$ affine continuous such that $\forall x \in B_1 \quad \|Q \circ f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \text{rad}(B_1)$ $(Q \circ f)(x_0) = T(x_0)$.

Let $\tilde{T}$ be the linear part of T : $\|\tilde{T}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$.

Lemma 2 yields $\tilde{T}(B_X) \supset c(1 - \varepsilon) B_{Y/G_{\perp}}$.

Consider $\tilde{T}^*: (Y/G_{\perp})^* \cong G_{\perp}^{\perp} = G \rightarrow X^*$. Then $\tilde{T}^*$ is a $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{c(1-\varepsilon)}$ -embedding.

* Classical results permit to deduce that $Y^* \cong (X^*)_U$ or $[Y^*]_{\infty}^{\text{finitely representable in } X^*}$.

As X is superreflexive, X^* is superreflexive, $(X^*)_U$ is reflexive, Y^* is reflexive

and thus Y is reflexive. Then $Y \cong Y^{**} \cong (X^*)_U^* / Z \cong (X^*)_U / Z \cong X_U / Z$.

th 7 Assume X is superreflexive: $Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$ has UAP because X is superreflexive

Proof We know that X admits an equivalent uniformly smooth norm: we shall assume

that $\|\cdot\|_X$ is u.s. Consider $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, B ball of X of radius 1 and $Lip f = 1$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $Lip_{\varepsilon} f = \sup_{\|x-y\| \leq \varepsilon} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\|x - y\|}$. Case 1. Assume $Lip_1 f \leq \varepsilon$. Then

f has a good approximation by a constant f^* .

$$\|f(x_0) - f(x_0 - u)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |f(u) - f(x_0)| \leq 3\varepsilon$$

$$\|f(x_0) - f(x_0 - u)\| \leq 2\varepsilon$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & x_0 - u & & x_0 & & x_0 + u \\ & & | & & | & & | \\ & & \|u\| & & \|u\| & & \|u\| \end{array} \quad \|u\| = 1$$

Case 2: $Lip_1 f \geq \varepsilon$. $\|\cdot\|_X$ u.s. implies that $\exists \delta(\varepsilon) > 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall y \in \delta B_X \quad \|x + y\| = 1 + y_n^*(y) + r(y)$

where y_n^* is the unique norming functional of x in S_{X^*} . Let k be the smallest integer s.t. $\|y\| \leq 1$.

$(1 + \delta\varepsilon)^k \geq 0$: $k = k(\varepsilon)$. Then $\varepsilon \leq Lip_1(f) \leq Lip_{\delta\varepsilon}(f) \leq \dots \leq Lip_{\delta^k \varepsilon}(f) \leq 1$.

(5)

Therefore Here $\exists j \leq k$ s.t. $\text{Lip}_{4^{-j}}(f) < (1+\delta\epsilon) \text{Lip}_{4^{-j+1}}(f)$

Denote $\Delta = 2 \cdot 4^{-j}$. $\text{Lip}_{\Delta/2}(f) < (1+\delta\epsilon) \text{Lip}_{2\Delta}(f)$

There is $\delta \geq \Delta$, $y, z \in B$ with $\|y-z\|=2\delta$ s.t. $\text{Lip}_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(f) \leq \text{Lip}_{\frac{\Delta}{2}}(f) < (1+\delta\epsilon) \frac{\|f(y)-f(z)\|}{2\delta}$

We may assume $y=-z$ and $f(z) = -f(-z) > 0$.

(*) Then $\exists d \geq \Delta$ $\|z\|=d$ $\text{Lip}_{\frac{d}{2}} f < (1+\delta\epsilon) \frac{f(z)}{d}$

As $-z, z \in B \Rightarrow 0 \in B$ $\begin{array}{c} \frac{\delta d}{2} \\ \times \quad \times \quad \times \\ 0 \quad u \quad z \end{array}$ $\frac{\delta d}{2} < \frac{1}{4}$ $B(u, \frac{\delta d}{2}) \subset (B \cap \delta d B_x)$

Note that $\frac{\delta d}{2} \geq \psi(\epsilon) > 0$ for some function ψ .

Let z^* be the norming functional of $\frac{z}{d}$.

$\|w+z\|, \|w-z\| \geq \frac{d}{2}$ because $\|z\|=d$, $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$.

Consider $f(w) = f(w) - f(-z) - f(z) \leq \text{Lip}_{\frac{d}{2}}(f) \|w+z\| - f(z)$

$$\leq (1+\delta\epsilon) f(z) \left\| \frac{z}{d} + \frac{w}{d} \right\| - f(z)$$

$$\leq (1+\delta\epsilon) f(z) \left[1 + z^*\left(\frac{w}{d}\right) + \epsilon \left\| \frac{w}{d} \right\| \right] - f(z)$$

$$\leq \frac{f(z)}{d} z^*(w) + [\epsilon \delta + \epsilon \delta + \delta^2 \epsilon + \delta^2 \epsilon^2]$$

$$\text{thus } f(w) \leq \frac{f(z)}{d} z^*(w) + 3\epsilon\delta$$

* Similarly, $-f(w) = f(z) - f(w) - f(z) \leq \text{Lip}_{\frac{d}{2}} \|z-w\| - f(z)$

$$\leq \frac{f(z)}{d} z^*(-w) + 3\epsilon\delta$$

$$\text{so that } f(w) \geq \frac{f(z)}{d} z^*(w) - 3\epsilon\delta$$

thus $f(w) - \frac{f(z)}{d} z^*(w) \leq 3\epsilon\delta$ on a ball of radius $\geq \frac{\delta d}{2}$.

One last comment on the current paper. It states the following result.

Prop: $\text{Lip}(X, Y)$ has UAAP $\Leftrightarrow$ $\begin{array}{c} X \text{ superreflexive and } \dim Y < \infty \\ Y \text{ } \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} X \end{array}$

Another result we could discuss (1) If $\ell_1 \subseteq X$, then $X \rightarrow \ell_1$ (JLPS'02: Lipschitz quotient of metric trees) this yields separable Lip quotients which are not quotients

(2) If $1 \leq p < p < \infty$, then $\ell_p \not\rightarrow \ell_q$ (another JLPS'02)

(3) If $1 < p < q < \infty$, $\ell_p \not\rightarrow \ell_q$ (Lima-Randiquanrong '11)

Lashinewich. If you have a uniform quotient $L_1 \rightarrow Y$, then $Y \not\rightarrow \ell_1$ and $Y \not\rightarrow \ell_\infty$.