

0 - CONTACT QUANTUM GROUPS

Definition: $G = (A, \Delta)$ A unital C^* -algebra
 $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ comultiplication st
 (i) $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$
 (ii) $\Delta(A)(1 \otimes A)$ and $\Delta(A)(A \otimes 1)$ is lin. dense in $A \otimes A$

Theorem: $\exists h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ Haar state
 $(\text{id} \otimes h)\Delta(a) = h(a)1 = (h \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a) \quad \forall a \in A.$

Definition: A corepresentation matrix of G is $u \in M_n(A)$ st
 $\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$

We consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{span} \{ u_{ij}^{\alpha} : |s_{i,j} \leq d_{\alpha}, \alpha \in I \}$$

composed of matrix coefficients of unitary irreducible corepresentations.

Proposition:
 • $\mathcal{A} = A$
 • h is faithful on \mathcal{A} .

Def-Proposition: Let $G = (A, \Delta)$ be a CQG. TFAE

- (i) h is a trace on A
- (ii) $S_{1A}^2 = \text{id}$

In this case we say that G is of Kac type.

Orthogonality relations: $h \left(\sum_{k \neq p} S(c_{ij}) \right) = h \left(\sum_{k \neq p} c_{ji}^* \right) = \frac{\delta_{kj} \delta_{ip}}{\dim(C)}$

where $c = (c_{ij})$ is a irreducible corepresentation.

4. HAAGERUP PROPERTY FOR COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

Let $G = (A, \Delta)$ be a CQG (of Kac type), h Haar state.

Denote $(\pi_R, L^2(G), \Lambda)$ the GNS triple obtained from $(x, y) \mapsto h(x^*y)$

$$\pi_R: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$$

$$\pi_R(a)\Lambda(b) = \Lambda(ab)$$

$$\Lambda: A \rightarrow L^2(G)$$

$$\langle \Lambda(a), \Lambda(b) \rangle = h(a^*b)$$

$$h(a) = \langle \pi_R(a)\xi, \xi \rangle \quad (\xi = \Lambda(1))$$

• Reduced C*-algebra:

$$C_r(G) = \pi_R(A) \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \simeq A/I$$

$$\text{where } I = \ker \pi_R = \{x \in A : h(x^*x) = 0\}$$

$$\Delta_r: C_r(G) \rightarrow C_r(G) \otimes C_r(G)$$

$$\pi_R(x) \mapsto (\pi_R \otimes \pi_R) \Delta(x)$$

$$h = h_r \circ \pi_R$$

• vonNeumann algebra: $L^\infty(G) = C_r(G)'' = \overline{C_r(G)}^{\sigma_w} \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$

• Fact: Δ_r, h_r extend to normal *-homomorphism / faithful state on $L^\infty(G)$

• Remark: we have the inclusions

$$C^* \subset_{(1)} C_r(G) \subset_{(2)} L^\infty(G) \subset_{(3)} L^2(G)$$

(1) h is faithful on C^* so π_R is injective on C^* .

(3) $\Lambda: A \rightarrow L^2(G)$ factors through $C_r(G)$ since $\pi_R(a) = 0 \Rightarrow \Lambda(a) = \pi_R(a)\Lambda(1) = 0$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \overline{C^*}^{\|\cdot\|} = A \\ \overline{C_r(G)}^{\sigma_w} = L^\infty(G) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sigma_w} C^* = L^\infty(G)$$

• $\{w_{ij}^\alpha : \{i, j\} \in d_\alpha, \alpha \in I\}$ basis of C^*

$\{w_{ij}^\alpha : \{i, j\} \in d_\alpha, \alpha \in I\}$ orthonormal basis of $L^2(G)$

• $kr(w_{ij}^\alpha) = w_{ji}^{\alpha^*}$ ($w_{ji}^{\alpha^*}$) is also unitary

($kr(w_{ji}^{\alpha^*}) = w_{ij}^\alpha$) Notation: $kr(w_{ij}^\alpha) = w_{ji}^{\alpha^*}$

Definition:

- ① (M, \mathcal{R}) is a finite ODA. We say that (M, \mathcal{R}) has the HAP if there exists a net $(\phi_x)_x$ of NUCP maps $h \circ \phi_x = h$ st
 - (i) $\forall x, \phi_x: L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$ is compact
 - (ii) $\forall a \in M, \|\phi_x a - a\|_2 \xrightarrow{x} 0$
- ② We say that G has HAP if $L^\infty(G)$ has HAP.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF NUCP \mathcal{R} -PRESERVING MAPS.

Theorem (Brannan) $G = (A, \Delta)$ Kac type.

$$B = C^* \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} w_{ij}^\alpha, \alpha \in \Gamma \right\rangle \quad (\text{central subalgebra})$$

$$\Psi \in B^* \text{ state, (i) } T_\Psi = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \frac{\Psi(w_{ij}^\alpha)}{d_\alpha} P_\alpha$$

where $P_\alpha: L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G) = \text{span} \{w_{ij}^\alpha : 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha\}$
 T_Ψ is a unital contraction on $L^2(G)$

(ii) $T_\Psi|_{L^\infty(G)}$ is a NUCP \mathcal{R} -preserving maps $T_\Psi \in B(L^\infty(G))$

Proof: (i) $\|T_\Psi\|_{B(L^2(G))} = \sup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \left| \frac{\Psi(w_{ij}^\alpha)}{d_\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{\|\Psi\|}{d_\alpha} \|w_{ij}^\alpha\|_A \leq \frac{1}{d_\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} \|w_{ii}^\alpha\|_A \leq 1$
 hence T_Ψ is a contraction

(ii) Lemma: $\Psi \in A^*$ state, $C_\Psi: A \rightarrow A$
 $x \mapsto (\Psi \circ \text{id}) \Delta(x)$

There exists a UCP- \mathcal{R} -preserving map $S_\Psi: C_r(G) \rightarrow C_r(G)$ st
 $S_\Psi(\text{JR}(x)) = \text{JR}(C_\Psi x)$ and this map extends to
 $S_\Psi: L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G)$ NUCP- \mathcal{R} -preserving.

$\Psi \in B^*$, take $\Psi \in A^*$ Hahn-Banach extension.

Consider $S_\Psi \in CB(L^\infty(G))$ given by the lemma.

$$Q_\Psi = \Delta_r \circ ((k_r \circ S_\Psi \circ k_r) \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta_r \quad \text{is NUCP } \mathcal{R}\text{-preserving.}$$

We want to show that $Q_\Psi = T_\Psi$ on $L^\infty(G)$. It suffices to prove that $Q_\Psi(w_{ij}^\alpha) = T_\Psi(w_{ij}^\alpha)$

$$Q_\Psi(w_{ij}^\alpha) \in L^2(G)$$

$$\sum_{\beta, p, q} \langle Q_\Psi(w_{ij}^\alpha), d_\beta^{1/2} w_{pq}^\beta \rangle d_\beta^{1/2} w_{pq}^\beta = \sum_{\beta, p, q} \langle (\Delta_r \circ S_\Psi \circ k_r \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_r(w_{ij}^\alpha), \Delta_r(w_{pq}^\beta) \rangle d_\beta^{1/2} w_{pq}^\beta$$

$$\sum_{\beta} w_{i\beta}^\alpha \otimes w_{\beta j}^\alpha \quad \sum_{\beta} w_{pp}^\beta \otimes w_{qq}^\beta$$

We get $\Phi_p(\omega_{ij}^\alpha) = \sum_{B,p,q} \langle (kr \circ S_p \circ kr) \bullet \text{id} \left(\sum_k \omega_{ik}^\alpha \otimes \omega_{kj}^\alpha \right), \sum_l \omega_{pl}^B \otimes \omega_{lq}^B \rangle d_p \omega_{ij}^B$

$$= \sum_{\substack{B,p,q \\ k,l}} \frac{kr \circ S_p (\omega_{ki}^\alpha) \otimes \omega_{lj}^\alpha, \omega_{pl}^B \otimes \omega_{lq}^B}{kr \circ (\Phi \circ \text{id}) \Delta_r(\omega_{ki}^\alpha)}$$

$$\stackrel{''}{=} \sum_{k,l} \frac{kr \circ (\Phi \circ \text{id}) \left(\sum_n \omega_{kn}^\alpha \otimes \omega_{ni}^\alpha \right)}{kr \left(\Phi(\omega_{kn}^\alpha) \bullet \omega_{ni}^\alpha \right)}$$

$$\stackrel{''}{=} \sum_{k,l} \frac{\Phi(\omega_{kn}^\alpha) \omega_{li}^\alpha}{\Phi(\omega_{kn}^\alpha) \omega_{li}^\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{B,p,q \\ k,l}} \frac{\Phi(\omega_{kn}^\alpha) \langle \omega_{in}^\alpha \otimes \omega_{kj}^\alpha, \omega_{pl}^B \otimes \omega_{lq}^B \rangle d_p \omega_{ij}^B}{\sum_{\substack{B,p,q \\ k,l}} \Phi(\omega_{kn}^\alpha) \omega_{li}^\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_k \frac{\Phi(\omega_{kk}^\alpha)}{d_k} \omega_{ij}^\alpha = \text{Tr}(\omega_{ij}^\alpha) \quad \underline{\text{CQFD}} \quad \blacksquare$$

3. FEW FACTS ABOUT S_N^+

$S_N^+ = (A_S(N), \Delta)$ is the quantum permutation group ^{of dimension N} given by

- $A_S(N)$ universal C^* -alg. generated by N^2 generators U_{ij} and the relations $V = (V_{ij})$ is unitary, $V_{ij}^* = V_{ij} = V_{ij}^2$ (V is a magic unitary)
- $\Delta(U_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^N U_{ik} \otimes U_{kj}$ (σ is the fundamental corepresentation)

Motivation:

$C(S_N)$ is the universal C^* -alg. generated by the N^2 elements $p_{ij} = \mathbb{1}(\sigma \in S_N / \sigma(j) = i)$

$N=1,2,3 \rightarrow$ same thing (for S_N^+ commutative)

$N=4 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p & 0 & 0 \\ 1-p & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q & 1-q \\ 0 & 0 & 1-q & q \end{pmatrix}$ magic unitary: the entries do not necessarily commute
 p, q projections
 \downarrow
 we get a different algebra.

Theorem (Dadica): There exists a maximal family $(\rho^{(t)})_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ of irreducible corepresentation of $A_S(N)$ st

- (i) $\rho^{(0)} = 1 \quad \rho = 1 \oplus \rho^{(1)}$
- (ii) $\overline{\rho^{(s)}} \simeq \rho^{(t)}$
- (iii) $\rho^{(s)} \otimes \rho^{(t)} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{2\min(s,t)} \rho^{(s+t-k)}$
- (iv) $d_0 = 1, d_1 = N-1, d_1, d_k = d_{k+1} + d_k + d_{k-1}$

Proposition: let $\chi \in \text{As}(N)$ be the character of ν . Then $\chi^* = \chi$ and

$$\varphi: C^* \langle \chi_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \rightarrow C(\text{Spec } \chi)$$

$\chi_k \mapsto \pi_k|_{\mathcal{O}}$
 π_k are the Tchebichev polynomials.

$$\pi_0 = 1, \pi_1 = x - 1, \pi_{k+1} \pi_k = \pi_{k+1} + \pi_k + \pi_{k-1}$$

Proof: • $\nu = 1 \oplus \nu^{(1)}$ so $\chi = 1 + \chi_1 \Rightarrow \chi^* = 1 + \chi_1^* = 1 + \chi_1 = \chi$

• $\chi_1 \chi_k = \chi_{k+1} + \chi_k + \chi_{k-1}$ so $C^* \langle \chi_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle = C^* \langle 1, \chi_k \rangle$ and we can consider

$$\varphi: C^* \langle \chi_k \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} C(\text{Spec}(\chi))$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \downarrow \\ \chi_k & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \pi_k|_{\mathcal{O}} \end{array}$$

$$\text{so } \forall x \in \mathcal{O}, \forall k \geq 1 \quad \varphi(\chi_1)(x) \varphi(\chi_k)(x) = \varphi(\chi_k)(x) + \varphi(\chi_{k+1})(x) + \varphi(\chi_{k-1})(x)$$

$$\varphi(\chi_0)(x) = 1$$

$$\varphi(\chi_1)(x) = x - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(\chi_k)(x) = \pi_k|_{\mathcal{O}}$$

Remark: $\pi_k(x) = A_{2k}(\sqrt{x})$ where the A 's are the polynomials

$$\begin{cases} A_0 = 1 \\ A_1 = x \\ A_1 A_n = A_{n+1} + A_n \end{cases}$$

Proposition: ① $\forall t, s \geq 1, A_t A_s = A_{t+s} + A_{t-1} A_{s-1}$

② $\exists 2 < x < 3, \exists C_{x_0} > 0$ depending only on x_0 st

$$\forall x \in [x_0, N], \forall t \quad \frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(N)} \leq C_{x_0} \left(\frac{x}{N} \right)^t$$

Proof: ② $q(x) = \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2}$ $q(x) + q(x)^{-1} = x$

(i) $\forall t, A_t(x) = \frac{q(x)^{t+1} - q(x)^{-t-1}}{q(x) - q(x)^{-1}}$ (exercise)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad \frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(N)} &= \frac{q(x)^{t+1} - q(x)^{-t-1}}{q(x) - q(x)^{-1}} \frac{q(N) - q(N)^{-1}}{q(N)^{t+1} - q(N)^{-t-1}} = \left(\frac{q(x)}{q(N)} \right)^t \frac{1 - q(x)^{-2t-2}}{1 - q(x)^{-2}} \underbrace{\frac{1 - q(N)^{-2}}{1 - q(N)^{-2t-2}}}_{\leq 1} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{q(x)}{q(N)} \right)^t (1 - q(x)^{-2})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

if $x_0 \in]2, 3[$, $x_0 \leq x \leq N$ we get

$$\frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(N)} \leq \underbrace{\left(\frac{q(x)}{q(N)} \right)^t}_{C_{x_0}} (1 - q(x_0)^{-2})^{-1} = \left(\frac{x}{N} \right)^t C_{x_0} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4/x^2}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4/N^2}} \right) \leq \left(\frac{x}{N} \right)^t C_{x_0}$$

CONCLUSION:

Theorem: S_N^+ has the HAP for $N \geq 2$.

Proof: • $N=2,3,4$ $G=S_N^+$ is commutative $\Rightarrow L^*(G)$ is injective $\Rightarrow G$ has HAP

• $N \geq 5$ Consider the states on the central algebra of S_N^+ given by ev_x

$$\varphi: \begin{array}{ccc} C^* \langle X_k \rangle & \longrightarrow & C(\text{Spec}(X)) \\ X_k & \longmapsto & X_{k|N} \end{array}$$

Some get NUCP trace preserving maps $\text{Ter}_x \subset \mathcal{CB}(L^*(G))$

we need to show: (i) $\text{Ter}_x : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ compact

$$(ii) \|\text{Ter}_x a - a\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow N} 0 \quad \forall a \in L^*(G)$$

Theorem: $\text{Spec}(X) = [0, N]$

$$(i) \text{Ter}_x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\text{ev}_x(X_k)}{d_k} P_k$$

$$\frac{\text{ev}_x(X_k)}{d_k} = \frac{X_k(x)}{X_k(N)} = \frac{A_{2k}(\sqrt{x})}{A_{2k}(\sqrt{N})} \leq C_x \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{2k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{we get compactness}$$

(ii) check this for $a = e_{ij}^k$

$$\|\text{Ter}_x e_{ij}^k - e_{ij}^k\|_{L^2} = \|e_{ij}^k\|_{L^2} \left| 1 - \frac{X_k(x)}{d_k} \right| \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow N} 0. \quad \blacksquare$$