

Fusion rules (cont'd)

François
30/4/2018

We continue the proof of the theorem begun on April, 9th.

Consider the collection of spaces span $\{T_\mu: \mu \in NC_S(i_1, \dots, i_h; j_1, \dots, j_\ell)\}$.
They form a C^* -tensor category with duals:

- objects: $(i_1, \dots, i_h) \in \mathbb{Z}_S^h$, where $(i_1, \dots, i_h) \otimes (j_1, \dots, j_\ell) = (i_1, \dots, i_h, j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$
- morphisms: $T_\mu: (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes h} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes \ell}$ with $\mu \in NC_S(i_1, \dots, i_h; j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$.
- conjugates: $\left\{ \overbrace{\quad}^{\quad} \underbrace{\quad}_{\quad} \right\} \in NC_S(i_1, \dots, i_h; (-i_1), \dots, (-i_h))$

$$\bar{R}: 1 \mapsto U \otimes \bar{U} \sim \bar{R}: \emptyset \mapsto (i_1, \dots, i_h; (-i_1), \dots, (-i_h))$$

$$R: 1 \mapsto \bar{U} \otimes U \sim R: \emptyset \mapsto (-i_1), \dots, (-i_h), i_1, \dots, i_h$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{R}^* \otimes 1_U) \circ (1_U \otimes R) &= 1_U \\ (1_U \otimes R^*) \circ (\bar{R} \otimes 1_U) &= 1_U \end{aligned} \quad \therefore \quad \begin{array}{c} 1_U \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ i_1 \quad i_2 \quad i_3 \quad \dots \quad i_h \quad i_1 \quad i_2 \quad i_3 \quad \dots \quad i_h \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\quad}^{\quad} \underbrace{\quad}_{\quad} \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ i_1 \quad i_2 \quad i_3 \quad \dots \quad i_h \quad i_1 \quad i_2 \quad i_3 \quad \dots \quad i_h \end{array} \equiv \begin{array}{c} i_1 \quad i_2 \quad i_3 \\ \vdots \\ i_1 \quad i_2 \quad i_3 \end{array}$$

Frobenius reciprocity: $\text{Hom}(U_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{i_h}; U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_\ell}) \simeq \text{Hom}(1; \overline{U_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{i_h}} \otimes U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_\ell})$
 $\simeq \text{Hom}(1; U_{-i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{-i_h} \otimes U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_\ell})$
 $\simeq \text{Hom}(1; U_{-i_1, \dots, -i_h, j_1, \dots, j_\ell})$
 $\simeq \left\{ \overbrace{\quad}^{\quad} \underbrace{\quad}_{\quad} \right\} \in NC_S(\emptyset; (-i_1), \dots, (-i_h), j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$

[2]: span $\{T_\mu: \mu \in NC_S(i_1, \dots, i_h; j_1, \dots, j_\ell)\} \subseteq \text{Hom}(U_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{i_h}; U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_\ell})$:

Frobenius reciprocity: we only prove the result for $h=0$, we can even restrict to the case of one block partitions $\mu = \{ \text{|||||} \}$. We have to prove that

$T_\mu: \mu \in NC_S(\emptyset; j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$, intertwines 1 and $U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_\ell}$,

$$T_\mu: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes \ell}$$

$$1 \mapsto \sum_a e_a^{\otimes \ell}$$

(recall that if $\mu \in NC(i_1, \dots, i_h; j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$,

$$T_\mu: (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes h} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes \ell}$$

$$e_{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{a_h} \mapsto \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_\ell} \mu(a, b) e_{b_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{b_\ell}$$

Consider $(U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_\ell})(1 \otimes \sum_a e_a^{\otimes \ell}) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_\ell} \mu(a, b) U_{j_1}^{a_1} \dots U_{j_\ell}^{a_\ell} e_{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{a_\ell} (1 \otimes \sum_a e_a^{\otimes \ell})$

where $e_{a_1, \dots, a_\ell}(e_f) = \delta_{a, f} e_c$

$$= \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_\ell} U_{j_1}^{a_1} \dots U_{j_\ell}^{a_\ell} e_{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{a_\ell}$$

$$= \sum_{a,c} U_{ca}^{j_1} \dots U_{ca}^{j_r} \otimes e_c^{\otimes l} = \sum_{a,c} U_{ca}^{j_1+\dots+j_r} \otimes e_c^{\otimes l} = \sum_{a,c} p_{ca} \otimes e_c^{\otimes l}$$

As $\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^r \right\} \in NC_s(\emptyset; j_1, \dots, j_r)$, we have $j_1 + \dots + j_r \equiv 0 [s]$, $= \sum_c 1 \otimes e_c^{\otimes l}$

⊆ more involved: $\text{Hom}(U_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{i_r}; U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_l}) \subseteq \text{span} \{ T_p : p \in NC_s(i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_l) \}$

It is enough to prove this for indices $\begin{cases} i_t = \pm 1 \\ j_r = \pm 1 \end{cases}$

"lemma": consider $H_N^{\infty+}$ and assume you proved the result for this QG.

you have V_{ij} s.t. $\text{Hom}(U_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{i_r}; U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_l}) = \text{span} \{ T_p : p \in NC_s(i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_l) \}$

Consider $\pi: CCHN^{\infty+} \rightarrow CCHN^{\infty+}$ where $\ker \pi = \langle V_{ij}^{-1} = V_{ij}^*, V_{ij}^{\pm 1} = V_{ij}^{\pm 1} \rangle$ (these measured universality)

These latter relations can be described by diagrams:

$$V_{ij}^{-1} = V_{ij}^* V_{ij}^+ \Leftrightarrow \sum_i V_{ij}^{i+1} V_{ij}^+ = 1 \Rightarrow \sum_i V_{ij}^{i+1} V_{ij}^+ = 1 \Rightarrow \sum_i V_{ij}^{i+1} V_{ij}^+ = 1$$

$\Rightarrow \sum_i V_{ij}^{i+1} V_{ij}^+ = \sum_i V_{ij} V_{ij}^+ V_{ij} V_{ij}^+ = \sum_i p_{ij} = 1$
 $\Leftrightarrow \sum_i V_{ij}^{i+1} V_{ij}^+ V_{ij} V_{ij}^+ = V_{ij} V_{ij}^+ \text{ as } ab = a \Rightarrow ab = 0$
 s.t. $V_{ij}^{i+1} V_{ij}^+ V_{ij} V_{ij}^+ = V_{ij} V_{ij}^+ \text{ and } V_{ij} V_{ij}^+ = V_{ij}^2$
 $a \cdot 1 \cdot V_{ij}^+ = V_{ij}^+$

Now note that $\sum_i V_{ij}^{i+1} V_{ij}^+ = 1$, this means

$$\text{In fact, } (V^{\otimes n+1} \otimes \bar{V})(1 \otimes \sum_a e_a^{\otimes n+2}) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}; j_1, \dots, j_{n+2}} V_{i_1 a} \dots V_{i_{n+1} a} V_{i_{n+2} j_1}^+ \dots V_{i_{n+2} j_{n+2}}^+ \otimes e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_{n+2}} \otimes e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_{n+2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n+2}} V_{i_1 a} \dots V_{i_{n+1} a} V_{i_{n+2} a}^+ \otimes e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_{n+2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i,a} V_{ia}^{n+1} V_{ia}^+ \otimes e_i^{\otimes n+2} = \sum_i \left(\sum_a V_{ia}^{n+1} V_{ia}^+ \right) \otimes e_i^{\otimes n+2}$$

$$= \sum_i 1 \otimes e_i^{\otimes n+2} \text{ and this is equivalent to } \sum_i V_{ia}^{n+1} V_{ia}^+ = 1$$

So the relations generating $\ker \pi$ are encoded by the fact that $p = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^r \right\} \in NC_s(\emptyset; (1, \dots, 1))$ and $T_p \in \text{Hom}(1; V^{\otimes n+1} \otimes \bar{V})$ and what we know about the $\text{intertwiner space in } H_N^{\infty+}$ we get that the collection of spaces $\text{Hom}(U_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{i_r}; U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_l})$ are generated by the diagrams in $NC_s(i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_l)$ and p_0 .

Q: Do we get in this way all the diagrams in $NC_s(i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_l)$?

A: Yes, we have $\text{Hom}(U_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{i_r}; U_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{j_l}) = \text{span} \{ T_p : p \in NC_s(i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_l) \}$

- we have $NC_s \subset NC_s$
- $p_0 \in NC_s(\emptyset; \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{n+1}, -1)$

- It remains to see that all diagrams in NC_s decompose as product of diagrams in NC_s and p_0 .

$$-(n=4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \text{TTTT} \end{array} \right\} \in NC_4(\phi; 1111) \\ \in NC_\infty(\phi; 1-1-1-1)$$

$$-(n=3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \text{TTT} \end{array} \right\} \in NC_3(0; 111) \\ \mu_0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \text{TTTT} \end{array} \right\} \text{ and } \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{TTT} \\ \text{TTT} \end{array} \right\} \in NC_\infty(1-1; 0)$$

$$\text{We can check that } \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \text{TTT} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{TTT} \\ \text{TTT} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{TTT} \\ \text{TTT} \end{array} \right\} \circ \mu_0$$

thus we get the result. But we still have to prove the lemma!
Where does the result on $H_N^{\infty+}$ come from?

Consider $A_h: X = (X_{ij})$, X, X^* unitaries, $X_{ij}X_{ih}^* = X_{ji}X_{hi}^* = 0$ if $j \neq h$. This provides all the relations of $C(H_N^{\infty+})$ except the normality condition.

$$\text{Then } C(A_h) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} C(H_N^{\infty+}) \text{ and let } \pi_1 = \langle X_{ij}X_{ih}^* = X_{ji}^*X_{ij} \rangle$$

$$X_{ij} \mapsto U_{ij}$$

You just have to assume that $\{H\} \in \text{Hom}(X \otimes \bar{X}, \bar{X} \otimes X)$

$$C(U_N^+) \rightarrow C(A_h) \rightarrow C(H_N^{\infty+}) \rightarrow C(H_N^{\infty+})$$

U, U^* unitaries. H may be an interesting QA, for Haagerup property for example.

Corollary: The basic corepresentations of $H_N^{\infty+}$ are as follows:

- (i) $U_1, \dots, U_{s-1}$ are irreducible
- (ii) $U_0 = (U_{ij}, U_{ij}^*) = 1 \oplus \pi_0$, π_0 irreducible
- (iii) $\pi_0, U_1, \dots, U_{s-1}$ are all inequivalent.

$$\text{Proof: } \text{Hom}_{(t \neq 0)}(U_t, U_t) = \text{span} \{T_\mu: \mu \in NC_s(t, t)\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} t \\ \vdots \\ t \end{array} \right\} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} t \\ \vdots \\ t \end{array} \right\}$$

$$NC_s(t, t') \quad NC_s(t, t')$$

$$\text{if } t=t' \quad \text{if } t=t'=0$$

$$\text{and this implies that } \dim \text{Hom}(U_t, U_{t'}) = \#NC_s(t, t')$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \neq t' \quad (A) \\ 1 & \text{if } t=t' \neq 0 \quad (B) \\ 2 & \text{if } t=t'=0 \quad (C) \end{cases}$$

then (A) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)

(B) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) because $U_0 = (U_{ij}, U_{ij}^*) = 1 \oplus \pi_0$

(C) $\Rightarrow$ (i)

$$\text{Hom}(1, U_0) = \text{span} \{T_\mu: NC_s(\phi, 0)\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Now we want to take tensor products: fusion rules for H_N^{st}

Def: The fusion semiring is $(R^+, -, \oplus, \otimes)$

- (i) R^+ is the set of equivalent classes of all representations of H_N^{st}
- (ii) $-, \oplus, \otimes$ are the usual involution, direct sum, tensor product.

$$\begin{matrix} R_{\text{irr}} \\ \text{mod. eq.} \end{matrix} \subset R^+ \subset R$$

fusion ring.

Def: Let $F = \langle \mathbb{Z}_s \rangle$ be the monoid of words over $\mathbb{Z}_s$

- (i) involution: $(i_1, \dots, i_n) = (-i_n, \dots, -i_1)$
- (ii) fusion: $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \cdot (j_1, \dots, j_m) = i_1 \dots i_n (i_n + j_1)_{\text{mod } s} j_2 \dots j_m$

Theorem: The irreducible corep. of H_N^{st} can be labeled r_x with $x \in \langle \mathbb{Z}_s \rangle$,
 involution $\overline{r_x} = r_{\bar{x}}$ and fusion rule: $r_x \otimes r_y = \sum_{\substack{x=uv \\ y=\bar{z}v}} r_{uv} \oplus r_{u,v}$
 (the second term occurs if uv is empty)

$$\text{Ex.: } r_i \otimes r_j = r_{ij} \oplus \overbrace{r_{i+j}}^{U_{ij}} \oplus \overbrace{1}^{a=1}$$

$\begin{matrix} x=i \\ y=j \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} x=\emptyset \\ y=\frac{i}{s} \emptyset \end{matrix}$

Proof: considers $A = \{a_x : x \in \langle \mathbb{Z}_s \rangle\}$ abstract monoid

with $a_x \cdot a_y = a_{xy}$

- $NA =$ linear combinations of elements of A with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$.

This is a semiring: $a_x \otimes a_y = \sum_{\substack{x=uv \\ y=\bar{z}v}} a_{uv} + a_{u,v}$

- $\mathbb{Z}A$ a ring: the idea is to construct a $\mathbb{Z}A$ morphism $\mathbb{Z}A \rightarrow R$, and prove that it restricts to an isomorphism at the level of A and R_{irr} .

Then $(\mathbb{Z}A, +, \cdot)$ is a free ring; actually, $(\mathbb{Z}A, +, \otimes)$ also is a free ring.

There is a convolution formula: $a_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i_n} = \sum_x \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ i_1, \dots, i_n}} C_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{j_1, \dots, j_n} a_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$
 with coefficients $C \in \mathbb{N}$.
 $a_{i_1} \dots i_n = \sum_x \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ i_1, \dots, i_n}} D_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{j_1, \dots, j_n} a_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{j_n}$ with $D \in \mathbb{Z}$.

th: We can define $\phi: ZA \longrightarrow R$ s.t. $\phi(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n}) = r_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} D_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{i_1, \dots, i_n} a_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i_n}$

We prove that (i) ϕ is injective

(ii) $\phi(A) \subset R_{irr}$ (irreducible coreps of $H_N^{\otimes n}$)

(iii) $\phi: A \longrightarrow R_{irr}$ is surjective.

(i) You prove that ϕ commutes with the linear form that counts the number of 1's in x ($x \in A, n \neq 1$): $\#(1 \in a_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i_n}) = \#(1 \in r_{i_1, \dots, i_n})$

$$\text{iff } \#(1 \in (a_{i_1} + \delta_{i_1,0} 1) \otimes \dots \otimes (a_{i_n} + \delta_{i_n,0} 1)) = \#(1 \in U_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{i_n}) \\ = \# N_{C_1}(\phi_{i_1, \dots, i_n})$$

$$\text{by induction: } (a_i + \delta_{i,0} 1) \otimes (a_j + \delta_{j,0} 1) = a_i \otimes a_j + \dots$$

$$\text{let } \mu = (a_i + \delta_{i,0} 1) \otimes (a_j + \delta_{j,0} 1) = a_i \otimes a_j + a_i \otimes 1 + \delta_{i,0} 1 \otimes a_j + \delta_{j,0} 1 \otimes a_i + \delta_{i,0} \delta_{j,0} 1$$

We get two copies of the trivial representation in μ , corresponding to $\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \phi \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \}, \{ \begin{smallmatrix} \phi \\ \prod_i \end{smallmatrix} \}$

And $\phi(x) = 0$ implies that $\phi(x \otimes x^*) = 0$, so that $\#(1 \in \phi(x \otimes x^*)) = 0$

$$\text{then } a_i \otimes a_j^* = a_i \otimes a_j + a_i \otimes 1 + \delta_{i,j,0} 1$$

$$\text{and } \#(1 \in a_i \otimes a_j^*) = \delta_{i=j,0}$$

$$\#(1 \in x \otimes x^*) = 0$$

$$x \otimes x^* = 0$$

$$x = 0$$

(iv) $\phi(A) \subset R_{irr}$

$$\phi(r_t) \in R_{irr} \\ t = 0, \dots, n-1$$

: we prove that $\phi(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n}) \in R_{irr}$ by induction.

(iii) $\phi: A \longrightarrow R_{irr}$ is surjective: $\phi(NA)$ contains all the tensor products between U and $\overline{U}$: we can deduce that such morphisms must be surjective.